

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/020,104	MONTGOMERY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kieu D Vu	2173	

All Participants:

(1) Kieu D Vu.

(2) Ben Volk.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

obviousness-type double patenting rejection with claim 1 of US patent 6,380,950

Claims discussed:

33 of present application and claim 1 of US patent 6,380,950

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's Representative agrees to file a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the Double Patenting rejection of claim 33 over claim 1 of US patent 6,380,950.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


RAYMOND J. BAYERL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2173


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)